

Message

From: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
Sent: 7/22/2016 5:02:57 PM
To: Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Huitric, Michele [Huitric.Michele@epa.gov]; yogu@usepa.onmicrosoft.com
CC: Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]
Subject: From Navy to Greenaction Re: Tetra Tech and the Shipyard
Attachments: Bradley.Angel 06292016.docx; ATT00001.htm

Lily Lee
USEPA Region 9
Superfund Division
415-947-4187

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO" <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>
Date: July 22, 2016 at 9:19:54 AM PDT
To: Bradley Angel <bradley@greenaction.org>
Cc: "LEE, LILY" <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>, "Lane, Jackie" <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Tetra Tech and the Shipyard

Hello Mr. Angel,

Please see responses to your questions on the attached file.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Best Regards,

Derek J. Robinson, PE
BRAC Environmental Coordinator
Navy BRAC PMO West
33000 Nixie Way
Bldg 50
San Diego CA 92147
Desk Phone: 619-524-6026

-----Original Message-----

From: Bradley Angel [mailto:bradley@greenaction.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 8:42 AM
To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO
Cc: LEE, LILY; Lane, Jackie
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Tetra Tech and the Shipyard

Hi Mr. Robinson,
Will the Navy be providing the answers to these questions as you stated would happen by the 22nd (tomorrow)?
Thanks,

Bradley Angel

On 6/29/2016 12:42 PM, Bradley Angel wrote:

Dear Mr. Robinson,

Here are some important questions we request the Navy provide written responses to as soon as you are able. After receiving your information we would look forward to the opportunity to discuss this with you and the appropriate Navy staff. Thanks.

1. What is the time period Tetra Tech has worked at the Hunters Point Shipyard?
2. How many soil samples has Tetra Tech done during the entire period they've worked at the shipyard?
3. How many of Tetra Tech's soil samples does the Navy believe were anomalous?
4. How many of the soil samples does the Navy believe were falsified?
5. How many of these samples and sites got retested? Who did the retesting? Did the Navy or another agency oversee the re-testing and if so, were split samples taken?
6. What was the extent (if any) of contamination removed based on the falsified samples and where was that soil disposed of?
7. What are the current plans on the revetment wall in terms of size, scope and content of the wall and barriers? Has this plan incorporated the latest sea level rise projections? What are the projections you are basing this on? How is the Navy addressing and protecting the site from inundation under the landfill that may result from rising sea levels?